# Jefferson County EMS Working Group Survey Results



June 11, 2025



# **EMS Involvement of Respondents**





# Dream EMS System

#### Top responses:

- 1. Financially sustainable system
- 2. Consistent, equitable services throughout the county
- 3. Well-trained, stable workforce





# Dream EMS System Cont.

"Absent a consolidation, a <u>county-wide funding</u> <u>mechanism</u> distributed to cities and villages, which will agree to <u>optimal coverage maps</u> to ensure <u>consistency in service area and revenue</u> for providers."

#### Other common responses:

- Consistent medical director and/or protocols
- 2. ALS paramedic services available throughout the county

Independent departments providing service to their areas

County-run EMS system

County funding support; enhanced coordination and standardization of EMS systems throughout the county



# **Top Assets Identified**





# **Opportunities to Leverage Assets**

#### Top opportunities:

- Provider expertise + Existing networks + Dispatch data → Build consensus around optimal county-wide coverage maps, service costs/structure, and operating procedures
- County involvement + EMS levy → Explore alternative funding and administrative models
- Established departments + Dispatch and communications systems in place →
  Identify strategies and implementation requirements for sharing staff,
  training, equipment, and operating (billing, dispatch, purchasing, etc.) costs





## **Primary Challenges to Address**



#### **Adequate funding**



Inconsistent boundaries, fee structures, contract terms, and service levels



Staffing



# **Questions to Answer: Operations**

- 1. "Are we sending the **right people** to the **right place** at the **right times**?"
- 2. "Can any pieces of EMS be **consolidated**?"
- 3. "How do we ensure **paramedic coverage** for everyone?"
- 4. "What is the feasibility of a **county-wide system**?"
- 5. "Are we providing a **high level** of service **equally** throughout the county? If not, how can we adjust?"
- 6. "Are EMS providers and local governments willing to work with each other?"



# **Questions to Answer: Funding**

- 1. "What **funding model** is best to support current and future services, staffing, and equipment needs?"
- 2. "How can we create a fair economical EMS system?"
- 3. "Can a consistent funding formula be established?"
- 4. "Is there a method for establishing **consistent boundaries** that can be maintained over time to **avoid negative financial impacts** on other contract participants?"
- 5. "How do we staff as needed with the funds available?"



## Important Outcomes

### **Top priorities**

- ✓ <u>Stability and consistency of:</u>
  - Service fees
  - o Boundary areas
  - Levels of service (Paramedic)
  - Protocols and medical direction

#### ✓ Financial sustainability

- Cost-sharing opportunities
- County support
- Alternative models available

### **Range of responses**

- Consolidation: Some strongly in favor, some opposed
- Control: Balance local control and service quality with standardization and cost-savings

### Other outcomes identified

- "EMD becomes a thing!"
- "Ideas to hire and retain staff"
- "Equipment analysis"
- "County EMS assessment"



# Data Needs

### **Services/Operations**

#### **Dispatch data**

- Call volumes
- Call types
- Response times
- Call processing times

#### Coverage

- Full-time vs. part-time
- ALS vs BLS
- Protocols and procedures
- Population served

### **Funding**

#### Service costs

- Current costs, contracts, and fee structures
- Projected future costs and necessary growth of service (ambulances, employees, etc.)
- What is the true cost of EMS service and are those costs being recouped?

### **Other Examples**

#### **Funding models**

- Formulas in rural areas with diversity of call volumes
- Staffing benchmarks for staffing ambulances (1 staffed ambulance per X,XXX residents)





### > Pull available data

- $\circ~$  Dispatch data for 2022, 2023, and 2024
- EMS budgets
- Send EMS data survey
- Students begin cleaning dispatch and financial data in July

### EMS data survey distribution list:

- ➢ Fire Chiefs
- City, Village, and Town Administrators
- City, Village, and Town elected officials
- > Who else?



# Initial Focus Areas Based on Survey

### **Services/Operations**

Analyze dispatch data, service agreements, protocols, and staffing to identify:

- Optimal service area boundaries
- Staffing and service levels needed
- Standard protocols and medical direction to streamline services and facilitate resource-sharing

### **Funding and Finances**

Evaluate existing budgets, fee structures, and service agreements to identify:

- Cost-sharing opportunities
- Optimal fee structure and rates based on affordability analysis, costs to provide services, and projected future demands.

### **Other Examples**

- Review other models, examples, and evidence-based best practices for EMS funding structures
- Compare staffing levels and EMS budgets in Jefferson County relative to comparable communities





# **Questions?**

# **Discussion Questions**

1. What are your reactions to the potential focus areas based on the survey? Is anything missing?

Note: Additional areas of focus can be added later.

- 2. Were you surprised by any of the input received or priorities that emerged?
- 3. Are you particularly excited about any of the potential focus areas?

#### Services/Operations

- Service area boundaries
- Service and staffing levels needed
- Standard protocols/medical direction

#### Funding

- Standard fee structure
- Cost-sharing and cost-savings

#### **Other Examples**

- Funding models
- Comparative staffing levels





# Thank you!

Megan McBride Managing Director, UniverCity Alliance <u>memcbride2@wisc.edu</u> 608-890-1211